

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1163 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

DWARKAPRASAD MATHUR

Versus

IIM

Appearance:

MR HAROOBHAI MEHTA for Petitioner
MR KS NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

Date of decision: 30/06/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of
respondent Indian Institute of Management (IIM). Learned
counsel submitted that the petitioner filed the present
petition for a direction on the respondent IIM to

consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Administrative Officer. Learned counsel for the respondent submitted a letter dated 23-6-2000 issued by the Personnel Officer of the IIM, Ahmedabad to M/s. Nanavati Associates. Learned counsel submitted that the petitioner Mr. DP Mathur has proceeded on voluntary retirement from the services of the IIM w.e.f. 17-1-2000, and therefore the present petition has become infructuous.

2. I have gone through the letter of the respondent Institute dated 23-6-2000. Since the petitioner has already proceeded on voluntary retirement, I am of the view that the present petition has become infructuous. Accordingly the petition is disposed of as infructuous. The letter dated 23-6-2000 be kept on record. Ad interim order passed, if any, shall stand vacated. Rule discharged. I make no order as to costs.

Dt: 30-6-2000

(P.K. Sarkar, J)

/vgn.